METR 5113, Advanced Atmospheric Dynamics I Alan Shapiro, Instructor Wed, 22 August 2018 (lecture 2)

1 handout: my explanation of Fig. 7.3 of Kundu (3rd ed)

<u>Einstein summation convention</u>: If an index appears <u>twice</u> in the <u>same term</u>, a summation over that index is implied and the summation sign can be omitted. For example:

$$x'_{j} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} C_{kj} x_{k}$$
 becomes: $x'_{j} = C_{kj} x_{k}$

An index that appears <u>twice in same term</u> is a <u>repeated index</u>, also known as a <u>dummy index</u>. (in eqn above k is a repeated/dummy index).

An index that appears <u>only once per term</u> is a <u>free index</u> (in eqn above j is a free index).

Repeated indices can be renamed. Use any symbol you want as long as it doesn't conflict with other indices. e.g. you can write $x'_j = C_{kj} x_k$ as $x'_j = C_{mj} x_m$ but NOT as $x'_j = C_{jj} x_j$ Can't have 3 or more of same index in same term!

A free index in one term must appear in all terms. Free indices can be renamed, but if you rename a free index in one term you must rename it in all terms, e.g., $x'_l = C_{kl}x_k$ means $x'_m = C_{km}x_k$

Question: How are components of \vec{x} in old system related to comps of \vec{x} in new system?

$$x_i = \vec{x} \cdot \hat{e}_i = x'_j \hat{e}'_j \cdot \hat{e}_i = C_{ij} x'_j$$

So
$$x_i = C_{ij} x'_j$$

Can rewrite it as,

$$x_i = C_{ik} x_k'$$
 (renamed dummy indices)

or:
$$x_j = C_{jk}x_k'$$
 (renamed free index -- in every term)

Compare with previous result: $x'_j = C_{kj}x_k$

Now consider Newton's 2nd law: $\vec{F} = m\vec{a}$, or $\vec{F} = m\frac{d^2\vec{x}}{dt^2}$.

It has rotational invariance, meaning that the generic form of the components of this eqn does not change under a coord rotation.

In original system:

(1)
$$F_i = m \frac{d^2x_i}{dt^2}$$
 (i = 1, 2, 3 in turn)

In rotated system:

(2)
$$F'_j = m \frac{d^2x'_j}{dt^2}$$
 (j = 1, 2, 3 in turn. Can use i instead of j here.)

Now we'll show how rotational invariance yields a coordinate transformation law for the comps of \vec{F} . Starting with (2):

$$F'_{j} = m \frac{d^{2} x'_{j}}{dt^{2}} = m \frac{d^{2} C_{ij} x_{i}}{dt^{2}} = C_{ij} m \frac{d^{2} x_{i}}{dt^{2}} = C_{ij} F_{i}$$

So:
$$F'_j = C_{ij}F_i$$

It's analogous to $x_{j}' = C_{kj}x_{k}$ [or $x_{j}' = C_{ij}x_{i}$]. So, comps of \vec{F} transform with the same rule as the comps of posⁿ vector \vec{x} .

[Alt derivation: mult (1) by C_{ij} (so, a sum over i). Equivalent to multiplying <u>each</u> of 3 eqns by a cosine, then summing the 3 eqns:

$$C_{ij}F_i = m \frac{d^2C_{ij}x_i}{dt^2}$$
, now use $x'_j = C_{ij}x_i$, get:

$$C_{ij}F_i = m \frac{d^2x'_j}{dt^2}.$$

Compare this result with (2), get $F'_{j} = C_{ij}F_{i}$, as before.]

General definition of a vector: a quantity \vec{P} is a vector if its comps transform like the posⁿ vector under a rotation of coords:

$$P'_{j} = C_{ij}P_{i}$$
 (3 eqns; j = 1, 2, 3 in turn)

Vectors are 1st order tensors.

Scalars are zeroth order tensors.

Example: Prove that fluid velocity $\vec{u} = d\vec{x}/dt$ is really a vector.

In original system, the components of \vec{u} are: $u_i = \frac{dx_i}{dt}$

In the rotated system, the components of \vec{u} are: $u'_j = \frac{dx'_j}{dt}$

so
$$u'_{j} = \frac{dx'_{j}}{dt} = \frac{dC_{ij}x_{i}}{dt} = C_{ij}\frac{dx_{i}}{dt} = C_{ij}u_{i}$$

so
$$\mathbf{u}_{j}' = \mathbf{C}_{ij}\mathbf{u}_{i}$$
 \therefore $\vec{\mathbf{u}}$ is a vector.

Example: Let $\vec{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 2.50 \\ 3.95 \\ 3.25 \end{pmatrix}$ be price of beef jerky at 3 gas stations.

The comps don't change under a coord rotation, i.e., $P_j^{'} = P_j$. Can prove \vec{P} isn't a vector using proof by contradiction: assume comps of \vec{P} transform according to the transformation rule for first order tensors, $P_j^{'} = C_{ij} P_i$, then find a coord rotation for which this rule is violated -- meaning the rule isn't a rule and \vec{P} isn't a vector. [Many ways to get a contradiction. For example, with the first two coords rotated clockwise by 90° and the third unchanged, get $C_{12} = 1$, $C_{21} = -1$, and all other $C_{ij} = 0$. For j=1 the "rule" yields $P_1^{'} = C_{i1} P_i = C_{11} P_1 + C_{21} P_2 + C_{31} P_3 = -P_2$, which can't be true because it violates the fact that $P_1^{'} = P_1$.]

Example: "phase velocity" is not a vector -- see handout.

A quantity Q is a <u>2nd order tensor</u> if its components transform under a coordinate rotation as:

dummy indices

$$Q'_{mn} = C_{im} C_{jn} Q_{ij}$$
free indices

2 free indices, m and n.

2 dummy (repeated) indices, i and j.

This defining eqn for Q is really 9 eqns because m can be 1,2 or 3 and n " " 1,2 or 3

So, considering all 9 possibilities, get 9 equations.

Look at one of those eqns, $Q_{23}' = C_{i2}C_{j3}Q_{ij}$. Expand it out:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}_{23}^{'} &= \mathbf{C}_{12} \mathbf{C}_{13} \mathbf{Q}_{11} + \mathbf{C}_{12} \mathbf{C}_{23} \mathbf{Q}_{12} + \mathbf{C}_{12} \mathbf{C}_{33} \mathbf{Q}_{13} \\ &+ \mathbf{C}_{22} \mathbf{C}_{13} \mathbf{Q}_{21} + \mathbf{C}_{22} \mathbf{C}_{23} \mathbf{Q}_{22} + \mathbf{C}_{22} \mathbf{C}_{33} \mathbf{Q}_{23} \\ &+ \mathbf{C}_{32} \mathbf{C}_{13} \mathbf{Q}_{31} + \mathbf{C}_{32} \mathbf{C}_{23} \mathbf{Q}_{32} + \mathbf{C}_{32} \mathbf{C}_{33} \mathbf{Q}_{33} \end{aligned}$$

Example: Suppose a quantity Q has components $Q_{ij} = u_i u_j$ where u is a vector. Prove that Q is a 2nd order tensor.

$$Q'_{mn} = u'_{m}u'_{n} = C_{im}u_{i}C_{jn}u_{j} = C_{im}C_{jn}u_{i}u_{j} = C_{im}C_{jn}Q_{ij}$$
done!

A quantity P is a 4th order tensor if its components transform under a coord rotation as:

$$P'_{mnpq} = C_{im}C_{jn}C_{kp}C_{lq}P_{ijkl}$$

 $81 \ eq^{ns} \ w/\ 81 \ terms \ on \ rhs \ of \ each \ eq^n.$