# An Examination of Tropical Cyclone Evolution Using Curvature Vorticity and Shear Vorticity

Benjamin A. Schenkel Department of Meteorology Florida State University 1/8/09

# Outline

- Motivation
- Previous Work
- Background
- Methodology
- Budget Studies of Tropical Cyclone Ivan (2004)
  - Curvature Vorticity Budget
  - Shear Vorticity Budget
- Statistical Evaluation of Shear to Curvature Vorticity Exchanges for HWRF Tropical Cyclone Hindcasts
- Conclusions

# Motivation

- Tropical cyclones (TCs) cause extreme social and financial burdens
- Operational track forecasts have improved over the last several decades, but intensity forecasts have not seen similar gains (Franklin, 2008)
- Recent work has uncovered important aspects of TC dynamics including Vortex Rossby waves (Montgomery and Kallenbach, 1997) and eyewall mesovortices (Schubert et al., 1999) that possibly have implications for predicting TC intensity fluctuations
- Much remains to be discovered to improve the understanding of TCs
- Given the link between intensity change and vortex efficiency as seen in previous research (Schubert and Hack, 1982), it would be natural to study curvature vorticity and shear vorticity given its relationship with vortex efficiency
- The following study attempts to provide insight into the issue of TC intensity changes using curvature vorticity and shear vorticity

### **Previous Work**

# **TC Vorticity Budget Studies**



Summary of relevant tendencies from earliest budget studies (Kurihara, 1975).

- No budget studies have been done for TCs using shear vorticity or curvature vorticity
- Budget studies are common approach to study of dynamics in the atmosphere
- Yanai (1961) emphasized importance of low level stretching and the vertical advection of low level vorticity for genesis and intensification
- Yamasaki (1968) and Kurihara (1975) confirmed these results, but also stated that the tilting of vorticity plays an important role at the surface in generating vorticity at inner radii, while friction creates vorticity at outer radii

#### **Curvature Vorticity and Shear Vorticity Studies**

- Curvature vorticity and shear vorticity are important for describing the curvature of the flow
- Pichler and Steinacker (1987) determined that the stretching of vorticity followed by the conversion of vorticity from shear to curvature was important for orographically induced cyclogenesis
- Bell and Keyser (1993) presented a case study showing the importance of shear potential vorticity to curvature potential vorticity exchanges in transforming a diffluent trough to a cutoff low

## Background

# Natural Coordinate System

- Flow following coordinate system consisting of s-axis and n-axis
- s-axis is tangent to the velocity vector at a given point
- n-axis is 90° counterclockwise with respective to the s-axis
- Orientation of coordinate axis defined with respect to Cartesian coordinate system with angle  $\alpha$  representing the angle between the s-axis and x-axis



Schematic of natural coordinate system (Bell and Keyser, 1993)

# **Dynamics Review**

• Absolute vorticity comprised of three components:

$$\xi = f + \zeta = f + \zeta_c + \zeta_s$$

• Curvature vorticity: rotation caused by the directional turning of the flow

$$\zeta_c = \frac{V}{R_s}$$

• Shear vorticity: rotation due to change in wind speed normal to the flow

$$\zeta_s = -\frac{\delta V}{\delta n}$$



Example of shear vorticity and curvature vorticity (Holton, 1992)



Example of shear vorticity (Holton, 1992)

#### Shear and Curvature Vorticity Tendency Equations

- Curvature and shear vorticity tendency equations as given in Bell and Keyser (1993)
- Terms boxed in red are shear to curvature vorticity conversion term

**Curvature Vorticity Tendency Equation:** 

$$\frac{\partial \zeta_{c}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\delta V}{\delta s} \frac{d\alpha}{dt} - \frac{\delta}{\delta n} \left(\frac{\delta \phi}{\delta s}\right) - V \frac{\delta \zeta_{c}}{\delta s} - V \frac{\delta f}{\delta s} - \omega \frac{\partial \zeta_{c}}{\partial p} - (\zeta_{c} + f) \nabla \cdot V - V \frac{\delta \omega}{\delta s} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial p} + F_{\zeta_{c}}$$
(1)
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)

Shear Vorticity Tendency Equation:

$$\frac{\partial \zeta_s}{\partial t} = \frac{\delta V}{\delta s} \frac{d\alpha}{dt} + \frac{\delta}{\delta n} \left(\frac{\delta \phi}{\delta s}\right) - V \frac{\delta \zeta_s}{\delta s} - \omega \frac{\partial \zeta_s}{\partial p} - (\zeta_s) \nabla \cdot V + \frac{\delta \omega}{\delta n} \frac{\partial V}{\partial p} + F_{\zeta_s}$$
(1)
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)

#### Vorticity and the Efficiency of TC Heating

• Vortex efficiency defined by Schubert and Hack (1982) as:  $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}/\hat{Q}$ 

• Vortex efficiency is dependent on the inertial stability:



# Vorticity and the Efficiency of Tropical Cyclone Heating



For balanced vortex, inertial stability becomes:

$$\eta^2 = [f + \zeta_s + \zeta_c][f + 2\zeta_c]$$
 where  $\zeta_c = \frac{V_{\theta}}{R}$  and  $\zeta_s = \frac{\partial V_{\theta}}{\partial R}$ 

- Increasing curvature or shear vorticity yields increased inertial stability
- For vorticity conversions, only increasing curvature and decreasing shear will lead to increased inertial stability

### Questions to be Answered...

- What is the spatial distribution of each term in the curvature vorticity and shear vorticity tendency equations?
- What are the mechanisms responsible for forcing each term?
- Which tendency terms are important in each budget equation?
- Is there any relation between the tendency terms and intensity changes?

# Methodology

# Storm History for TC Ivan

- TC Ivan (2004) simulated using MM5
- Simulation covers strengthening from tropical storm to weak hurricane

 Simulation conducted over 48 hour period from 0600 UTC
 September 3 – 0600 UTC September 5 2004



Track of TC Ivan (2004) as given in the best track (Stewart, 2004)

# MM5 Simulation Methodology

- Series of one way nests with horizontal resolutions of 36, 12, and 4 km with 43 sigma levels
- Initial and boundary conditions from NCEP 1° x 1° FNL Analysis used for coarsest domain
- Physics options:
  - Explicit convective scheme in 4 km domain and Kain-Fritsch convective parameterization in 12 and 36 km domains
  - Blackadar planetary boundary layer scheme (Blackadar 1979)
  - Cloud-radiation scheme (Dudhia et al., 2004)
  - Goddard microphysics explicit moisture scheme (Tao et al. 1989)
  - Five-layer soil model (Dudhia, 1996)
- Data was outputted hourly and interpolated onto uniform grid
- Center of storm defined using methodology similar to Braun (2002)

## Simulation Verification

- Minimum sea level pressure verifies well, but maximum surface winds do not
- Track corresponds well with MM5 simulation moving slightly faster



minimum sea level pressure of TC Ivan (2004) from best track and MM5 simulation

Track of TC Ivan (2004) in best track (black) and MM5 simulation (blue)

#### Vorticity Budget Calculation Methodology

- Calculations performed using equations given in Bell and Keyser (1993) using MM5 data
- Computations performed on innermost domain with first six hours disregarded
- Divergence adjustment performed using method of O'Brien (1970)
- Vertical velocities recalculated from corrected divergence using isobaric continuity equation
- Error reduction performed on each vorticity tendency budget to reduce residuals
- Budgets are computed in an Earth relative reference frame

## **Confirmation of Results**

- Computations involving case study from Bell and Keyser (1993) show results are similar
- Bell and Keyser use PV while calculations in this case done for vorticity using North American Regional Reanalysis (NARR) interpolated to 315 K isentropic surface and to a horizontal resolution of 0.75°



Shear to curvature potential vorticity conversion term (PVU /  $(6 \text{ hr})^{-1}$ ) from Bell and Keyser (2003) at 315 K on 0600 UTC September 19 1986



5

3

-5

Shear to curvature vorticity conversion  $(10^{-9} \text{ s}^{-2})$  calculated from NARR interpolated to 315 K on 0600 UTC September 19 1986

## Budgets for TC Ivan (2004)

#### Impact of Environmental Flow Upon the TC

- Northerly to northeasterly shear throughout simulation with weak to moderate wind speed
- Inner maximum is southern/southeastern side
- Outer maximum is southern/southwestern side

11.5 60 20 Direction Direction from North (Degrees) Speed 40 15 10.5 Wind Speed (m/s) 20 10 9.5 0 5 8.5 -20 0 7.5 10 20 30 40 Forecast Hour Time series of vertical shear vector direction and magnitude hour 42



Northerly to

northeasterly

# **Relative Vorticity**

- Relative vorticity maximum becomes smaller and more symmetric with time
- Relative vorticity is sum of curvature vorticity and shear vorticity



## **Curvature Vorticity Budgets**

## **Curvature Vorticity**

• Curvature vorticity maxima becomes more symmetric and concentrated over time indicating smaller radius of flows



δα

 $\delta s$ 

#### Vertical Advection of Curvature Vorticity

- Negative tendency consistently found on South and West part of TC
- Negative tendencies found at low levels associated with upgradient advection of curvature vorticity

∂р

Positive tendencies associated downgradient advection of curvature vorticity



#### Shear to Curvature Vorticity Conversion Term

- Dipole in vorticity tendency term at low levels
- Banded nature of conversions on eastern half of TC
- Net negative contribution at small radii at later hours in simulation

 $\frac{\delta V}{\delta s}\frac{d\alpha}{dt} - \frac{\delta}{\delta n}(\frac{\delta\phi}{\delta s})$ 



#### Shear to Curvature Vorticity Conversion Term 2

 $-\frac{\delta}{\delta n}(\frac{\delta\phi}{\delta s})$ 

- Conversion term 2 is more dominant of terms spatially
- Influenced by diffluence induced vertical shear



#### Shear to Curvature Vorticity Conversion Term 2 Mechanism

- As a reasonable approximation consider a symmetric TC with geopotential minimum at center and maximum pressure gradient force at radius of maximum winds
- Assume vertical shear induces diffluence on right side of TC, confluence on left side of TC
- On right side of vortex , diffluence leads to positive conversion
- On left side of vortex, confluence leads to negative conversion



#### Stretching of Absolute Curvature Vorticity

- Stretching of curvature vorticity follows that of divergence field
- Small negative tendencies associated with divergence found at inner radii and aloft



# Tilting of Curvature Vorticity

• Tendencies limited to western side of vortex due to along flow gradient of vertical motion with predominantly positive values

 $V \frac{\delta \omega}{\delta s} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial p}$ 

- Temporally inconsistent net contribution on scale of mean
- Large asymmetries throughout vertical



# Summary of Results

- Each of the terms examined was shown to have distinct asymmetries throughout the domain
- Difficulties exist in trying to estimate the net contribution of each term and making an intercomparison of terms
- Layer averages of areal averages of terms and their magnitudes are used to relate terms to each other
- Only results for the lowest layer, extending from 950 750 hPa, are shown

# Hourly Time Series of Intensity

 Storm goes through three periods of intensity change



# Intercomparison of Terms

- Magnitude of all terms increases throughout simulation
- Vorticity conversion term generally has largest magnitude
- Stretching term becomes relatively more important during second stage of intensification



## Intercomparison of Terms

- Average magnitude of vorticity conversion term is negative in second half of simulation
- Vertical advection has strong negative contribution and stretching has net positive tendency



## Shear Vorticity Budgets

# Shear Vorticity

- Cyclonic shear vorticity maxima at center inwards of RMW
- Anticyclonic shear vorticity outwards of RMW
- Cyclonic vorticity maximum will expand and weaken with height







spectral analysis at simulation hour 42

spectral analysis at simulation hour 42

# Stretching of Shear Vorticity

- Positive stretching tendencies at low level with surface convergence
- Negative tendencies aloft with compensating divergence
- Negative tendencies inwards with divergence at inner radii with nascent eye

 $-(\zeta_{s})\nabla \cdot V$ 





# Summary of Results

- Once again the terms are shown to have asymmetries throughout the domain making it difficult to relate tendency terms to each other
- For purposes of comparison, layer averages of areal averages of the terms and their magnitudes are computed
- Only results in the lowest layer, 750 950 hPa, will be shown

## Intercomparison of Terms

- Curvature to shear vorticity tendency has strongest magnitude
- Stretching has large magnitude increase during latter portion of simulation



Layer average magnitude of curvature to shear vorticity conversion and tilting terms  $(10^{-8} \text{ s}^{-2})$ 

Layer average magnitude of vertical advection and stretching term (10  $^8\,s^{-2}$  )

## Intercomparison of Terms

- Curvature to shear vorticity conversion becomes predominantly negative prior to second half of simulation
- Vertical advection negative throughout simulation



# **Correlation with Intensity Change**

- Strong correlation between layer averages of vorticity tendency terms and hourly intensity change (minimum sea level pressure) in either equation not present
- Correlation coefficients computed for layer average magnitudes:
  - 1. Show weak relationships (range from 0.24 to 0.41) that do not change significantly throughout vertical
  - 2. Upper level has lowest correlation coefficient values
- Correlation coefficients computed for layer average values:
  - 1. Values are slightly larger and have much wider range than for layer average magnitudes
  - 2. Little consistency between coefficients from level to level
  - 3. Range is from 0.52 (stretching of curvature vorticity in lowest layer) to
    -0.01 (shear to curvature vorticity conversion term at upper levels)
  - 4. Existence of positive correlation coefficients at lower levels needs further investigation

- Case study of TC Ivan (2004) simulated used in curvature vorticity and shear vorticity budget study
- All terms showed asymmetries due to vertical wind shear
- For relevant curvature vorticity tendency terms:
  - 1. Vertical advection contains negative values at surface and positive values above level of maximum vorticity due to reversal of vertical vorticity gradient
  - 2. Shear to curvature vorticity conversion term yields dipole at low levels with a net negative contribution at later hours in the simulation due to divergence induced by vertical shear
  - 3. Stretching of vorticity contains positive tendencies at surface at outer radii associated with convergence and negative tendencies aloft and at inner radii due to divergence.
  - 4. Tilting tendencies limited to western side of TC with significant asymmetries in vertical

- For relevant shear vorticity tendency terms:
  - 1. Vertical advection of vorticity associated with negative values at the surface and both negative and positive values above level of maximum vorticity due to reversal of vertical vorticity gradient and expansion of RMW
  - 2. Stretching term yields patterns similar to the corresponding curvature vorticity tendency term
  - 3. Tilting of vorticity contains tendencies limited to the vortex center with significant asymmetries in the vertical especially at mid-levels
- For both the shear and curvature vorticity tendency equations, layer averages of magnitudes of terms showed shear to curvature vorticity conversion term with largest average magnitude in all layers with the tilting term also being important at mid-to-upper levels

- Layer averages of curvature tendency equation show net conversion of curvature vorticity into shear vorticity, negative vertical advection tendencies, and positive stretching tendencies
- Layer averages of shear vorticity tendency equation show net conversion of curvature vorticity into shear vorticity and negative vertical advection tendencies at low levels
- Correlation of layer averages with intensity change show only weak relationships with either minimum sea level pressure or maximum surface wind speed
- The low correlation values may be attributed to layers chosen for averaging

## Future Work

- Correct derivation of curvature vorticity tendency equations and shear vorticity tendency equations as given in Viúdez and Haney (1996) needs to be used.
- 2. Computations should be done in a storm relative reference frame rather than an Earth relative frame.



#### Storm Relative Versus Earth Relative

• Comparison of storm relative versus Earth relative computations for TC Ivan (2004) dramatically impacts Eulerian time tendency and horizontal advection terms



#### Storm Relative Versus Earth Relative

• Comparison does not yield substantial difference between other terms such as shear to curvature vorticity conversion term and stretching term



# Acknowledgements

- Major Professor: Dr. Krishnamurti
- Committee: Dr. Hart and Dr. Ruscher
- NCAR CISL and FSU HPC
- Dr. Reasor
- Pat Kablick
- Dave Ryglicki and Henry Winterbottom
- Krish Lab Past and Present
- Friends
- Family

- Arakawa, A., and W. Schubert (1974), Interaction of a Cumulus Cloud Ensemble with the Large-Scale Environment, Part I, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 31 (3), 674–701. 3.5
- Bell, G., and D. Keyser (1993), Shear and Curvature Vorticity and Potential-Vorticity Interchanges: Interpretation and Application to a Cutoff Cyclone Event, *Monthly Weather Review*, 121 (1), 76–102. (document), 1.1, 2.1, 2.1, 2.1, 2.4, 2.4, 2.4, 2.4, A, B, B
- Bender, M. (1997), The Effect of Relative Flow on the Asymmetric Structure in the Interior of Hurricanes, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 54(6), 703–724. 1.1, 2.3, 4.3.1, 4.3.1, 5.2
- Blackadar, A. (1979), High Resolution Models of the Planetary Boundary Layer, Advances in Environmental Science and Engineering, 1(1), 50–85. 3.2
- Bleck, R. (1991), Tendency Equations for Shear and Curvature Vorticity in Coordinateindependent Vector Notation, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 48(8), 1124–1127. 2.1
- Blumberg, A., and G. Mellor (1987), A Description of a Three-Dimensional Coastal Ocean Circulation Model, Three-Dimensional Coastal Ocean Models, 4, 1–16. 3.5
- Braun, S. (2002), A Cloud-Resolving Simulation of Hurricane Bob (1991): Storm Structure and Eyewall Buoyancy, Monthly Weather Review, 130(6), 1573–1592. 3.3
- Braun, S., and W. Tao (2000), Sensitivity of High-Resolution Simulations of Hurricane Bob (1991) to Planetary Boundary Layer Parameterizations, *Monthly Weather Review*, 128(12), 3941–3961. 3.2
- Chan, J., and R. Williams (1987), Analytical and Numerical Studies of the Beta-Effect in Tropical Cyclone Motion. Part I: Zero Mean Flow, *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 44 (9), 1257–1265. 1.1, 5.2
- Chen, T., and L. Bosart (1979), A Quasi-Lagrangian Vorticity Budget of Composite Cyclone-Anticyclone Couplets Accompanying North American Polar Air Outbreaks, *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 36(2), 185–194. 1.1, 3.4
- Chen, T., and C. Chang (1980), The Structure and Vorticity Budget of an Early Summer Monsoon Trough (Mei-Yu) over Southeastern China and Japan, *Monthly Weather Review*, 108(7), 942–953. 1.1

- Chew, F. (1974), The Turning Process in Meandering Currents: A Case Study, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 4(1), 27–57. 1.1, 2.1, 2.4, 4.3.1
- Chew, F. (1975), The Interaction Between Curvature and Lateral Shear Vorticities in a Mean and an Instantaneous Florida Current, a Comparison, *Tellus*, 27(6), 606–618. 1.1
- Corbosiero, K., and J. Molinari (2002), The Effects of Vertical Wind Shear on the Distribution of Convection in Tropical Cyclones, *Monthly Weather Review*, 130(8), 2110–2123. 2.3, 2.3, 4.1, 4.1
- Davis, C., and L. Bosart (2002), Numerical Simulations of the Genesis of Hurricane Diana (1984). Part II: Sensitivity of Track and Intensity Prediction, *Monthly Weather Review*, 130(5), 1100–1124. 3.2
- Davis, C., and M. Weisman (1994), Balanced Dynamics of Mesoscale Vortices Produced in Simulated Convective Systems, *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 51(14), 2005–2030. 1.1
- DeMaria, M. (1996), The Effect of Vertical Shear on Tropical Cyclone Intensity Change, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 53(14), 2076–2088. 2.3
- Depperman, C. (1947), Notes on the Origin and Structure of Philippine Typhoons, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc, 28, 399–404. 3.5
- DiMego, G., and L. Bosart (1982), The Transformation of Tropical Storm Agnes Into an Extratropical Cyclone. Part II: Moisture, Vorticity and Kinetic Energy Budgets, Monthly Weather Review, 110(5), 412–433. 1.1, 3.4
- Dudhia, J. (1993), A Nonhydrostatic Version of the Penn State–NCAR Mesoscale Model: Validation Tests and Simulation of an Atlantic Cyclone and Cold Front, *Monthly Weather Review*, 121 (5), 1493–1513. 3.2, 4.5
- Dudhia, J. (1996), A Multi-Layer Soil Temperature Model for MM5, in Preprints, The Sixth PSU/NCAR Mesoscale Model Users Workshop, pp. 22–24. 3.2
- Dudhia, J., D. Gill, K. Manning, W. Wang, and C. Bruyere (2005), PSU/NCAR Mesoscale Modeling System (MM5 version 3) Tutorial Class Notes and Users Guide, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO. Published online. 3.2
- Eliassen, A. (1951), Slow Thermally or Frictionally Controlled Meridional Circulation in a Circular Vortex, Astrophisica Norvegica, 5. 1.2, 2.2, 6
- Elsberry, R. (1995), Global Perspectives of Tropical Cyclones. WMO-/TD-No,. 693, Report No. TCP-38, World Meteor, Organization, 289pp. 4.3.1
- EMC (2008), HWRF: The Hurricane Weather Research and Forecasting System. 3.5
- Esbensen, S., E. Tollerud, and J. Chu (1982), Cloud-Cluster-Scale Circulations and the Vorticity Budget of Synoptic-Scale Waves Over the Eastern Atlantic Intertropical Convergence Zone, Monthly Weather Review, 110(11), 1677–1693. 1.1

- Ferrier, B. (2005), An Efficient Mixed-Phase Cloud and Precipitation Scheme for Use in Operational NWP Models, in American Geophysical Union, Spring Meeting 2005, abstract# A42A-02. 3.5
- Fiorino, M., and R. Elsberry (1989), Some Aspects of Vortex Structure Related to Tropical Cyclone Motion, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 46(7), 975–990. 1.1, 5.2
- Frank, W., and E. Ritchie (1999), Effects of Environmental Flow upon Tropical Cyclone Structure, Monthly Weather Review, 127(9), 2044–2061. 2.3
- Frank, W., and E. Ritchie (2001), Effects of Vertical Wind Shear on the Intensity and Structure of Numerically Simulated Hurricanes, *Monthly Weather Review*, 129(9), 2249– 2269. 2.3
- Franklin, J. (2008), National Hurricane Center Forecast Verification. 1.1
- Franklin, J., and D. Brown (2006), Tropical Cyclone Report: Hurricane Emily. 3.1
- Gray, W. (1968), Global View of the Origin of Tropical Disturbances and Storms, Monthly Weather Review, 96(10), 669–700. 2.3
- Grell, G. (1993), Prognostic Evaluation of Assumptions Used by Cumulus Parameterizations, Monthly Weather Review, 121 (3), 764–787. 3.5
- Hack, J., and W. Schubert (1986), Nonlinear Response of Atmospheric Vortices to Heating by Organized Cumulus Convection, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 43(15), 1559–1573. 1.1, 2.2, 6
- Holland, G. (1980), An Analytic Model of the Wind and Pressure Profiles in Hurricanes, Monthly Weather Review, 108(8), 1212–1218. 3.5, 6.3
- Holland, G., and R. Merrill (1984), On the Dynamics of Tropical Cyclone Structural Changes, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 110(465), 723–745. 1.1, 3.6, 5.2
- Hollmann, G. (1958), Die Krümmungs-und die Scherungs-Vorticitygleichung, Die Richtungsund die Geschwindigkeits-Divergenzgleichung, Beitr. Phys. Atmos. 30, 254–267. 2.1
- Holton, J., et al. (1992), Dynamic Meteorology. (document), 2.2, 2.3
- Hong, S., and H. Pan (1996), Nonlocal Boundary Layer Vertical Diffusion in a Medium-Range Forecast Model, Monthly Weather Review, 124 (10), 2322–2339. 3.5
- Iredell, M. (2002), About the Global Parallel System. 3.2
- Janjic, Z., J. Gerrity Jr., and S. Nickovic (2001), An Alternative Approach to Nonhydrostatic Modeling, Monthly Weather Review, 129(5), 1164–1178. 3.5
- Jones, S. (1995), The Evolution of Vortices in Vertical Shear. I: Initially Barotropic Vortices, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 121(524), 821–851. 2.3

- Jones, S. (2000a), The Evolution of Vortices in Vertical Shear. II: Large-Scale Asymmetries, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 126, 3137–3160. 2.3
- Jones, S. (2000b), The Evolution of Vortices in Vertical Shear. III: Baroclinic vortices, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 126, 3161–3186. 2.3
- Kain, J. (2004), The Kain-Fritsch Convective Parameterization: An Update, Journal of Applied Meteorology, 43(1), 170–181. 3.2
- Kaplan, J., and M. DeMaria (2003), Large-Scale Characteristics of Rapidly Intensifying Tropical Cyclones in the North Atlantic Basin, Weather and Forecasting, 18(6), 1093– 1108. 5.1
- Kimball, S., and F. Dougherty (2006), The Sensitivity of Idealized Hurricane Structure and Development to the Distribution of Vertical Levels in MM5, *Monthly Weather Review*, 134 (7), 1987–2008. 3.2
- Knievel, J., and R. Johnson (2003), A Scale-Discriminating Vorticity Budget for a Mesoscale Vortex in a Midlatitude, Continental Mesoscale Convective System, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 60(6), 781–794. 1.1
- Krishnamurti, T. (1968), A Study of a Developing Wave Cyclone, Monthly Weather Review, 96(4), 208–217. 1.1
- Krishnamurti, T. (1996), An Introduction to Numerical Weather Prediction Techniques, CRC Press. 3.4, 3.4
- Kurihara, Y. (1975), Budget Analysis of a Tropical Cyclone Simulated in an Axisymmetric Numerical Model, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 32(1), 25–59. 1.1, 4.3.1, 4.3.1
- Kurihara, Y., M. Bender, and R. Ross (1993), An Initialization Scheme of Hurricane Models by Vortex Specification, *Monthly Weather Review*, 121 (7), 2030–2045. 3.5
- Kurihara, Y., M. Bender, R. Tuleya, and R. Ross (1995), Improvements in the GFDL Hurricane Prediction System, Monthly Weather Review, 123(9), 2791–2801. 3.5
- Kurihara, Y., R. Tuleya, and M. Bender (1998), The GFDL Hurricane Prediction System and Its Performance in the 1995 Hurricane Season, *Monthly Weather Review*, 126(5), 1306–1322. 3.5
- Lindzen, R., and M. Fox-Rabinovitz (1989), Consistent Vertical and Horizontal Resolution, Monthly Weather Review, 117(11), 2575–2583. 3.2
- Liu, Q., N. Surgi, S. Lord, W. Wu, D. Parrish, S. Gopalakrishnan, J. Waldrop, and J. Gamache (2006), Hurricane Initialization in HWRF Model, in 27th Conference on Hurricanes and Tropical Meteorology. 3.5, 5

Martin, J. (2006), Mid-Latitude Atmospheric Dynamics: A First Course, Wiley. 2.1

- McFarquhar, G., H. Zhang, G. Heymsfield, R. Hood, J. Dudhia, J. Halverson, and F. Marks Jr (2006), Factors Affecting the Evolution of Hurricane Erin (2001) and the Distributions of Hydrometeors: Role of Microphysical Processes, *Journal of the Atmo*spheric Sciences, 63(1), 127–150. 3.2, 3.4
- Molinari, J., and D. Vollaro (1990), External Influences on Hurricane Intensity. Part II: Vertical Structure and Response of the Hurricane Vortex, *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 47(15), 1902–1918. 3.4
- Montgomery, M., and R. Kallenbach (1997), A Theory for Vortex Rossby-Waves and Its Application to Spiral Bands and Intensity Changes in Hurricanes, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 123(538), 435–465. 1.1
- Moon, I., I. Ginis, T. Hara, and B. Thomas (2007), A Physics-Based Parameterization of Air-Sea Momentum Flux at High Wind Speeds and Its Impact on Hurricane Intensity Predictions, *Monthly Weather Review*, 135(8), 2869–2878. 3.5
- Newton, C., and E. Palmén (1963), Kinematic and Thermal Properties of a Large-Amplitude Wave in the Westerlies, *Tellus*, 15, 99–119. 1.1
- O'Brien, J. (1970), Alternative Solutions to the Classical Vertical Velocity Problem, Journal of Applied Meteorology, 9(2), 197–203. 3.4, 4.5
- Ooyama, K. (1982), Conceptual Evolution of the Theory and Modeling of the Tropical Cyclone, J. Meteor. Soc. Japan, 60, 369–379. 1.1, 2.2
- Pichler, H., and R. Steinacker (1987), On the Synoptics and Dynamics of Orographically Induced Cyclones in the Mediterranean, *Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics*, 36(1), 108–117, 1.1
- Reasor, P., M. Montgomery, and L. Grasso (2004), A New Look at the Problem of Tropical Cyclones in Vertical Shear Flow: Vortex Resiliency, *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 61 (1), 3–22. 2.3
- Reed, R., and R. Johnson (1974), The Vorticity Budget of Synoptic-Scale Wave Disturbances in the Tropical Western Pacific, *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 31 (7), 1784–1790. 1.1
- Ruscher, P. (2008), Personal Communication. 4.3.1
- Schubert, W., and J. Hack (1982), Inertial Stability and Tropical Cyclone Development, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 39(8), 1687–1697. (document), 1.1, 2.2, 2.4, 6
- Schubert, W., M. Montgomery, R. Taft, T. Guinn, S. Fulton, J. Kossin, and J. Edwards (1999), Polygonal Eyewalls, Asymmetric Eye Contraction, and Potential Vorticity Mixing in Hurricanes, *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 56(9), 1197–1223. 1.1
- Shapiro, L. (1978), The Vorticity Budget of a Composite African Tropical Wave Disturbance, Monthly Weather Review, 106(6), 806–817. 1.1, 3.4

- Shapiro, L., and H. Willoughby (1982), The Response of Balanced Hurricanes to Local Sources of Heat and Momentum, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 39(2), 378–394. 1.1, 2.2, 2.2, 6
- Simmons, A., and D. Burridge (1981), An Energy and Angular-Momentum Conserving Vertical Finite-Difference Scheme and Hybrid Vertical Coordinates, *Monthly Weather Review*, 109(4), 758–766. 3.5
- Stewart, S. (2004), Tropical Cyclone Report: Hurricane Ivan. 3.1, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3
- Tao, W., J. Simpson, and M. McCumber (1989), An Ice-Water Saturation Adjustment, Monthly Weather Review, 117(1), 231–235. 3.2
- Troen, I., and L. Mahrt (1986), A Simple Model of the Atmospheric Boundary Layer, Sensitivity to Surface Evaporation, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 37(1), 129–148. 3.5
- Tuleya, R., and S. Gopalakrishnan (2005), Hurricane Model Transitions to Operations at NCEP/EMC: February 2006 Progress Report. 3.5
- Velden, C. (1993), The Relationship Between Tropical Cyclone Motion, Intensity, and the Vertical Extent of the Environmental Steering Layer in the Atlantic Basin, in Preprints, 20th Conf. on Hurricanes and Tropical Meteorology, San Antonio, TX, Amer. Meteor. Soc, pp. 31–34, 1.1, 2.3
- Velden, C., and L. Leslie (1991), The Basic Relationship between Tropical Cyclone Intensity and the Depth of the Environmental Steering Layer in the Australian Region, Weather and Forecasting, 6(2), 244–253. 1.1, 2.3
- Viúdez, Á., and R. Haney (1996), On the Shear and Curvature Vorticity Equations, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 53(22), 3384–3394. 2.1, 2.1, 2.1, 2.4, 2.4, 2.4, 6.3
- Viúdez, Á., and R. Haney (1997), On the Relative Vorticity of the Atlantic Jet in the Alboran Sea, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 27(1), 175–185. 1.1, 2.1
- Wash, C., J. Peak, W. Calland, and W. Cook (1988), Diagnostic Study of Explosive Cyclogenesis during FGGE, Monthly Weather Review, 116(2), 431–451. 1.1
- Watson, L., and T. Krishnamurti (2006), Evolution of the Organization of Convection and Scale Interactions During Hurricane Genesis, Ph.D. thesis, Florida State University. 3.2
- Weisman, M., and C. Davis (1998), Mechanisms for the Generation of Mesoscale Vortices within Quasi-Linear Convective Systems, *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 55(16), 2603–2622. 1.1
- Willoughby, H., F. Marks Jr, and R. Feinberg (1984), Stationary and Moving Convective Bands in Hurricanes, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 41 (22), 3189–3211. (document), 2.3, 2.5, 2.3, 4.3.1

- Yamasaki, M. (1968), Numerical Simulation of Tropical Cyclone Development with the Use of Primitive Equations, J. Meteor. Soc. Japan, 46, 178–201. 1.1, 4.3.1, 4.3.1
- Yanai, M. (1961), A Detailed Analysis of Typhoon Formation, Meteor. Soc. Japan, 39, 187– 214. 1.1, 4.3.1, 4.3.1
- Zehr, R. (1992), Tropical Cyclogenesis in the Western North Pacific., Thesis (PH. D.)-Colorado State University, 1992. Source: Dissertation Abstracts International, 53, 4723. 2.3